

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

ANDREW PERRONG,	:	
	:	
<i>Plaintiff</i>	:	Case No. 21-cv-00377-JMY
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
GN GIFTS AND HERBAL, LLC, ET AL.:		
	:	
<i>Defendants</i>	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 17th day of May 2021, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (ECF No. 15), it is hereby **ORDERED** that said Motion is **GRANTED** as unopposed.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ John Milton Younge

Judge John Milton Younge

¹ Plaintiff filed his Motion to Compel on April 26, 2021. (ECF No. 15.) If Defendants contested the Motion, they were required to file a brief in opposition within 14 days thereafter—by May 10, 2021. *See Local R. Civ. P. 7.1(c)*. Defendants have not filed an opposition. Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(c), “[i]n the absence of timely response, [a] motion may be granted as uncontested except as provided under [the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure governing summary judgment motions].” Since Defendants have failed to oppose Plaintiff’s Motion to Compel, the Court grants the Motion as unopposed. *See, e.g., United States v. Eleven Vehicles*, 200 F.3d 203, 214 (3d Cir. 2000) (“Local court rules play a significant role in the district courts’ efforts to manage themselves and their dockets” and district courts have the authority “to impose a harsh result, such as dismissing a motion or an appeal, when a litigant fails to strictly comply with the terms of a local rule.”); *Stackhouse v. Mazurkiewicz*, 951 F.2d 29, 30 (3d Cir. 1991) recognizing that a local civil rule may be properly invoked to treat a motion as unopposed, particularly where a party is represented by counsel).